TNO Built Environment and Geosciences

Building and Construction

Van Mourik Broekmanweg 6 P.O. Box 49 2600 AA Delft The Netherlands

www.tno.nl

T +31 15 276 30 00 F +31 15 276 30 10 info-BenO@tno.nl

TNO report

2007-D-R0576/B Impact of Solar Control Glazing on energy and CO₂ savings in Europe

| Date | July 31, 2007 |
|---|---|
| Author(s) | L.G. Bakker, B.Sc. H. Visser, M.Sc. |
| Assignor | Groupement Européen des Producteurs de Verre Plat |
| Project number | 034.68895/01.01 |
| Classification report Title Abstract Report text Appendices | |
| Number of pages Number of appendices | 28 |

All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced and/or published in any form by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without the previous written permission from TNO.

All information which is classified according to Dutch regulations shall be treated by the recipient in the same way as classified information of corresponding value in his own country. No part of this information will be disclosed to any third party.

In case this report was drafted on instructions, the rights and obligations of contracting parties are subject to either the Standard Conditions for Research Instructions given to TNO, or the relevant agreement concluded between the contracting parties. Submitting the report for inspection to parties who have a direct interest is permitted.

© 2007 TNO

Executive Summary

The Energy Performance of Buildings Directive, EPBD, requires all Member States to improve their Building Regulations at 5-yearly intervals. Energy use and CO_2 production of air conditioning is getting more and more important as the rise in global temperatures and higher aspirations of comfort increase the share of air-conditioned buildings.

Therefore, the four major European manufacturers of architectural glass joined in GEPVP induced an independent study for calculation of the energy and CO_2 savings in the 25 EU Member States as a result of the use of existing high performance solar control glazing in those air-conditioned buildings which would not normally contain this glass.

This report quantifies the impact of application of solar control glazing in existing and new buildings with air conditioning on energy savings and CO_2 reduction to be achieved in 2020. The calculation method used corresponds to the EPBD standard developed for determination of heating and cooling loads of buildings. Information on building stock, material properties and meteorological data comes from European studies and statistics.

Sets of two calculations form the basis for determination of the energy savings:

- in one calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the building with reference glazing, which is already present for existing buildings or is expected to be applied for future buildings (period 2007-2020);
- in the other calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the same building with solar control glazing.

Subtraction of the energy performance resulting from the two calculations reveals the energy savings for heating and cooling. Subsequently, CO_2 reduction is derived from the energy savings.

Figures on energy savings and CO_2 reduction have been calculated for the 25 EU Member States for four scenarios:

- Scenario 1: application of solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings under the assumption that the percentage of air conditioning in the new buildings (built between 2007 and 2020) is twice as high as in present buildings;
- Scenario 2: as Scenario 1 but with the suggestion that the application of solar control glazing may cause air conditioners to be left out for all new buildings except for South Europe;
- Scenario 3: application of solar control glazing in all existing and future airconditioned buildings, i.e. combining Scenario 1 with the existing buildings with air conditioners;
- Scenario 4: as Scenario 3 with vast increase of air conditioning in both existing and future buildings to present USA levels of 65% for residential and 80% (100% for South Europe) for non-residential buildings.

The overview below presents the figures summed for the EU25 Member States in the year 2020. CO_2 reduction has also been presented as contribution to the Commission's objective on primary energy savings as described in the Action Plan for Energy Efficiency: 20% in the year 2020. For the building sector, the EU target corresponds to about 300 Mt/year CO_2 reduction.

| Scenario | Energy savings for heating in 2020 | Energy savings for cooling in 2020 | CO₂ reduction in 2020 | Contribution to EU target for CO₂ reduction for buildings in 2020 |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| | [TJ] | [TJ] | [kt] | |
| 1 | -3282 | 68794 | 4502 | 1.5% |
| 2 | -3282 | 104550 | 6594 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 16241 | 204173 | 15913 | 5.3% |
| 4 | 139815 | 980675 | 82031 | 27% |

Relating the figures to the Commission's objective for all sectors, i.e. 780 Mt/year CO_2 reduction, shows that application of solar control glazing can contribute up to 10% of the target for 2020.

The South of Europe contributes the most to the potential of solar control glazing for CO_2 reduction: 50 - 75% depending on the scenario. The mid-European countries are second with 10 - 20%. There is also interesting potential up to 10% for Poland and the United Kingdom.

The present report contains interesting information, not only for the glass industry but also for policymakers as the appealing contribution to the EU target can be achieved with available products with minimal maintenance and without adaptation of the installation infrastructure to match.

| | Executive Summary2 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | Introduction5 |
| 1.1 | Issue of impact of solar control glazing on energy and CO ₂ savings |
| 1.2 | Approach |
| 2 | Calculation method and tool7 |
| 2.1 | Monthly method from EN ISO 13790 for window energy calculations |
| 2.2 | Structure and contents of the calculation tool |
| 3 | Four scenarios for savings of energy and CO ₂ by solar control glazing |
| 3.1 | Introduction: explanation of the presented savings |
| 3.2 | Scenario 1: Solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings19 |
| 3.3 | Scenario 2: Solar control glazing in all future buildings avoiding air conditioning in all new buildings except for South Europe |
| 3.4 | Scenario 3: Solar control glazing in all existing and future air-conditioned buildings22 |
| 3.5 | Scenario 4: Vast increase of air conditioning in buildings |
| 3.6 | Sensitivity of the savings for different assumptions |
| 4 | Conclusions |
| 5 | References |
| 6 | Authorisation |

1 Introduction

1.1 Issue of impact of solar control glazing on energy and CO₂ savings

Groupement Européen des Producteurs de Verre Plat, GEPVP, comprises the four major European manufacturers of architectural glass, i.e. Glaverbel, Guardian, Pilkington and Saint Gobain Glass. Together these companies have a turnover in Europe of approximately 4 billion euros, and represent 95% of European flat glass manufacturing capacity.

GEPVP believes that insufficient attention has been paid by policymakers and legislators to the significance of air conditioning as a producer of CO_2 from buildings. This is partly due to the fact that the majority of air-conditioned buildings are in the southern European countries, where historically regulations on energy efficiency have not been strong, and partly due to the fact that air conditioning has been perceived as being present in only a small minority of buildings. However, this is beginning to change. The Energy Performance of Buildings Directive, EPBD, requires all Member States to improve their Building Regulations at 5-yearly intervals. Air conditioning will be taken into account in a better way with respect to energy use and CO_2 production. At the same time, the rise in global temperatures and higher aspirations of comfort will increase the number of buildings in which air conditioning will be installed.

Therefore, GEPVP induced an independent study for calculation of the energy and CO_2 savings in each of the 25 EU Member States as a result of the use of existing high performance solar control glazing, i.e. solar control glass in conjunction with low emissivity glass in a double glazed unit, in those air-conditioned buildings which would not normally contain solar control glass.

Results of this study, carried out by TNO, have been presented in this report. The study covers dwellings and non-residential buildings, new buildings as well as existing buildings having their windows replaced. Calculations have been made for developments over the next 15 years (until 2020) and for the 25 EU Member States.

The outcome has been placed in the context of the Commission's Action Plan for Energy Efficiency ([1]). The EU objective is to save 20% of the primary energy consumption by 2020, corresponding to 390 Mtoe/year¹ and 780 Mt/year CO₂ reduction. About 41% of the total energy consumption is related to buildings. Energy statistics to support EU policies and solutions ([2]) indicate that the building sector produces somewhat less CO₂ per unit of oil equivalent than the other sectors, i.e. mainly industry and transport. Assuming the same target energy saving of 20% for all sectors and conversion from Mtoe into Mt CO₂ as indicated in [2], the 2020 target for CO₂ reduction for buildings is about 300 Mt/year.

1.2 Approach

Determination of the energy and CO_2 savings has been based on the European methods for assessment of the energy performance as being elaborated for the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive. Heating and cooling loads of buildings as

¹ Mtoe = Megaton oil equivalent.

calculated according to this EN ISO 13970 method ([3]) have been incorporated in a calculation tool.

This tool has been provided with sheets containing input data for the calculations as well as output sheets for final processing. There are input data on country information, description of buildings including operation of installations, building stock, glazing properties and meteorological data. Final processing involves conversion of heating and cooling loads for the various situations into energy savings and further CO₂ savings for clusters of countries and individual Member States. Input and output details of the tool are described in Chapter 2.

Four scenarios of penetration of air conditioning have been studied using the calculation tool for quantification. Additional sensitivity analyses give information on the accuracy of the figures presented. The scenarios and the savings to match are presented in Chapter 3.

TNO's work has been followed by a small GEPVP Working Group. Members contributed to the study with specific data on glazing properties, market information for the European countries as well as references to available European data and studies.

2 Calculation method and tool

2.1 Monthly method from EN ISO 13790 for window energy calculations

2.1.1 Reference to the calculation method

Within the set of standards for the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive, EN ISO 13790: 2007 ([3]) describes the calculation of heating and cooling loads of buildings. The final version of this standard is being prepared by TNO and will be published in 2007. The standard integrates different levels of detail:

- the document has been structured to maximize the common use of procedures, conditions and input data, irrespective of the calculation method;
- a monthly (and seasonal) method for heating and cooling has been provided;
- a simple hourly method for heating and cooling, to facilitate easier introduction of hourly, daily or weekly patterns (e.g. controls, user behaviour), has been included;
- for dynamic simulation methods, procedures concerning boundary conditions and input data have been included, that are consistent with the boundary conditions and input data for more simplified methods;
- the whole document has been scrutinised to check its applicability within the context of building regulations, which require a minimum of ambiguities and subjective choices; where needed, possibilities are offered for national choices, depending on the purpose or application of the calculations (see list above) and on type or complexity of the building.

An inventory among the EU Member States reveals that the monthly method will be used by the majority of the Member States for calculating the energy performance for heating and cooling. Some of these Member States will allow a detailed method for complex buildings. The main reason for choosing the monthly method is its robustness, reproducibility and transparency.

The monthly method from EN ISO 13790: 2007 is currently used as basis for drafting an ISO standard on the energy rating of windows. Scope of this ISO standard ([4]), being handled in ISO TC163 SC2 WG 11, is to draft a simplified procedure to assess the energy performance of fenestration systems for rating of windows, doors and skylights, including frame, sash, glazing and shading components. The standard is to take into account the heating and cooling energy use in buildings, internal and external climatic conditions and relevant building characteristics. Within this WG, consisting of several partners from USA, Canada, Europe and Japan), TNO is responsible for the proper link with EN ISO 13790.

The same monthly method for determination of heating and cooling loads of buildings has been incorporated in the calculation tool created for the study in this report.

2.1.2 Building energy balance

In EN ISO 13790, the monthly energy need for space heating is calculated according to:

$$Q_{\rm H,nd} = Q_{\rm H,ht} - \eta_{\rm H,gn} \cdot Q_{\rm H,gn}$$

where for each month:

 $Q_{\rm H,ht}$ is the total heat transfer by transmission and ventilation of the building;

 $Q_{\rm H.gn}$ are the total solar and internal heat gains of the building;

 $\eta_{\rm H,gn}$ is the dimensionless gain utilization factor.

The monthly energy need for space cooling is calculated according to:

$$Q_{\rm C,nd} = Q_{\rm C,gn} - \eta_{\rm C,ls} \cdot Q_{\rm C,ht} \tag{2}$$

where for each month:

 $Q_{\rm C.ht}$ is the total heat transfer by transmission and ventilation of the building;

 $Q_{\text{C.gn}}$ are the total solar and internal heat gains of the building;

 $\eta_{\rm C,ls}$ is the dimensionless utilization factor for heat losses.

2.1.3 Heat balance elements

Leaving out the indices H for heating and C for cooling, the total heat transfer of the building is given by:

$$Q_{\rm ht} = Q_{\rm tr} + Q_{\rm ve} \tag{3}$$

where for each month:

 $Q_{\rm tr}$ is the total heat transfer by transmission of the building;

 $Q_{\rm ve}$ is the total heat transfer by ventilation of the building.

The total heat gains of the building are given by:

$$Q_{\rm gn} = Q_{\rm int} + Q_{\rm sol} \tag{4}$$

where for each month:

 Q_{int} is the sum of the internal heat gains of the building;

 $Q_{\rm sol\,e}$ is the sum of the solar gains of the building.

Gain utilization factor $\eta_{H,gn}$ and heat loss utilization factor $\eta_{C,ls}$ are function of the heat balance ratio γ , being Q_{gn}/Q_{ht} , and a numerical parameter that depends on the thermal inertia of the building. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the gain and heat loss utilization factor for the heating respectively cooling load calculation of the building.



Figure 2.1 Illustration of gain utilization factor for heating mode, for 8 hours (low inertia, key 1), 1 day, 2 days, 1 week and infinite (high inertia, key 5) time constants.



Figure 2.2 Illustration of loss utilization factor for cooling mode, for 8 hours (low inertia, key 1), 1 day, 2 days, 1 week and infinite (high inertia, key 5) time constants.

2.1.4 Calculation at window level

Use of the monthly calculation method for the window energy balance gives the following energy performance per m^2 window area A_w for heating:

$$Q_{\mathrm{H,nd,w}} = Q_{\mathrm{H,ht,w}} - \eta_{\mathrm{H,gn}} \cdot Q_{\mathrm{H,gn,w}}$$
(5)

For cooling it is:

$$Q_{\mathrm{C,nd,w}} = Q_{\mathrm{C,gn,w}} - \eta_{\mathrm{C,ls}} \cdot Q_{\mathrm{C,ht,w}} \tag{6}$$

Leaving out the indices H and C, the window energy terms are as follows:

$$Q_{\rm ht,w} = U_{\rm w} \cdot (\theta_{\rm i}^{-} \theta_{\rm e}) \tag{7}$$

and

$$Q_{\rm gn,w} = g_{\rm w} \cdot I_{\rm sol} \tag{8}$$

where:

 $U_{\rm w}$ is the U-value of the window, in W/(m²K);

- $g_{\rm w}$ is the dimensionless total solar energy transmittance of the window;
- θ_{i} θ_{e} is the difference between internal and external temperatures on a monthly basis, in K;
- I_{sol} is the solar irradiance, the total monthly energy of the solar irradiation per m² window with given orientation and tilt, in MJ/m².

The calculation process involves:

- 1 From selected climate and window orientation: assigning of matching $(\theta_i \theta_e)$ and I_{sol} per month.
- 2 From the window properties: assigning U_w , g_w and A_w .
- 3 From selected building and occupancy: assigning of matching $\eta_{H,gn}$ and $\eta_{C,ls}$ per month.

2.1.5 Validation of the calculation tool

The monthly calculation method has been validated against four reference cases as described in prEN 15265 ([5]). These reference cases present an office room with a west oriented window and different combinations of building inertia, internal heat gain

and g-value. Reference values for heating and cooling load of the room come from calculations using different dynamic mathematical models.

Table 1 presents calculation results of the tool in comparison to the reference values.

| Case | Construction | Internal gain W/m ² | g-value | | Tool kWh | Reference kWh | Difference |
|------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| 1 | Light | 20 | 0.20 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{H,nd}$ | 832 | 748 | 11% |
| 1 | Light | 20 | 0.20 | Q _{C,nd} | 249 | 234 | 7% |
| 2 | Норми | 20 | 0.20 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{H,nd}$ | 791 | 723 | 10% |
| 2 | Tleavy | 20 | 0.20 | Q C,nd | 189 | 201 | -6% |
| 3 | Light | 0 | 0.20 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{H,nd}$ | 1430 | 1369 | 5% |
| 5 | Light | 0 | 0.20 | Q C,nd | 40 | 43 | -6% |
| 4 | Light | 20 | 0.72 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{H,nd}$ | 617 | 567 | 9% |
| 4 | Light | Light 20 0.7. | 0.72 | Q _{C,nd} | 1648 | 1531 | 8% |

Table 1Comparison of heating and cooling loads for an office room from the calculation tool with
reference calculations according to prEN 15265.

The comparison shows that the calculation tool is in line with the reference calculations. Maximum difference is about 10%.

2.2 Structure and contents of the calculation tool

Figure 3 describes the structure of the MS Excel based calculation tool, called EUCO2, Version 1.00. The tool core contains the calculation routine as described in Section 2.1. These calculations are performed for multiple combinations of country clusters coupled to climate, building type, building age and type of glazing. Hence, a matrix is defined for all combinations to be calculated. Afterwards, calculation results are processed to reveal totals of energy use and CO_2 production as well as the savings.



Figure 2.3 Structure of the tool for calculation of the heating and cooling load of buildings.

2.2.1 Definition of country clusters coupled to climate

Calculations are performed for seven different clusters of countries, each of the clusters having its own set of meteorological data. Table 2 presents the distribution of the EU Members States over the country clusters. There are three Central regions. 'Central maritime' and 'Central continental' contain old (EU15) Member States, whilst 'Central'

contains four new Member States. The Central continental and Central regions have the same reference climate, but are treated separately because of different basic data concerning the performance and distribution of the building stock.

| North | | South | |
|---------------------|------|------------------|------|
| - Finland | 5.2 | - Cyprus | 0.8 |
| - Sweden | 9.0 | - Greece | 10.7 |
| | | - Italy | 58.1 |
| | | - Malta | 0.4 |
| Central maritime | | - Portugal | 10.6 |
| - Belgium | 10.4 | - Spain | 40.4 |
| - Denmark | 5.5 | | |
| - Ireland | 4.1 | Baltics | |
| - Luxemburg | 0.5 | - Lithuania | 3.5 |
| - Netherlands | 16.5 | - Latvia | 2.3 |
| - United Kingdom | 60.6 | - Estonia | 1.3 |
| - France | 60.9 | | |
| | | Poland | |
| | | - Poland | 38.5 |
| Central continental | | | |
| - Austria | 8.2 | Central | |
| - Germany | 82.4 | - Czech Republic | 10.3 |
| | | - Hungary | 10.2 |
| | | - Slovakia | 5.4 |
| | | - Slovenia | 2.0 |

Table 2Distribution of the EU Member States over the country clusters, including number of
inhabitants (x million).

Table 3 defines the link between country clusters and reference meteorological data. The data have been derived from Meteonorm Version 5.0 ([6]), additionally taking into account data on climate change as reported by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPPC; [7]). For the majority of the calculations, external temperatures have been assumed to increase according to the mid range projection of the IPPC report expecting a 2°C temperature rise at the end of the century. In the sensitivity study reported in Section 3.6, external temperatures increase at the top and bottom of the ranges identified in [7], corresponding to 0.6°C and 3.5°C temperature increase by the year 2100.

 Table 3
 Link between country clusters and reference meteorological data.

| Country cluster | Location of reference meteorological data |
|---------------------|---|
| North | Stockholm |
| Central maritime | London |
| Central continental | Munich |
| South | Rome |
| Baltics | Riga |
| Poland | Warsaw |
| Central | Munich |

2.2.2 Definition of building types and building stock

Calculations are performed for five different building types, both residential and nonresidential buildings, like offices and schools. Table 4 defines the building types by way of floor, wall, window and roof area. Building stock has been composed from residential and non-residential buildings from five periods, i.e. before 1975 and periods 1975 – 1990, 1991 – 2002, 2002 – 2006 and 2007-2020. For the period before 1975, distinction has been made between refurbished and non-refurbished buildings. For the majority of the calculations, it is assumed that the net growth in floor area is 1% per year equally distributed over building types and country clusters. In more detail, the annual demolition rate is assumed to be 1%, and 2% per year is new built. Table 5 defines the distribution of floor area over the building ages, building types and country clusters for the situation in 2006 and Tabel 6 for the situation in 2020. In the sensitivity study reported in Section 3.6, construction rate of new buildings is varied between 1% and 3% per year, whereas the annual demolition rate remains 1%.

Information for the Tables 4, 5 and 6 comes from Ecofys studies for Eurima and EuroACE ([8], [9] and [10]).

| Building type | Dwelling | Apartment building small | Apartment building large | Non- residential small | Non- residential large |
|--|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Function: | | | 0 | | 0 |
| - 1 = residential | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| - 2 = office, school, etc. | | | | | |
| Length of the building [m] | 10 | 70 | 210 | 70 | 210 |
| Width of the building [m] | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 25 |
| Height of the floor [m] | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Number of floors | 2 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 10 |
| Footprint (floor area) [m ²] | 50 | 700 | 3150 | 1050 | 5250 |
| Roof area [m ²] | 75 | 700 | 3150 | 1050 | 5250 |
| Total wall area including windows [m ²] | 108 | 810 | 7200 | 900 | 7800 |
| Used (heated/cooled) building area [m ²] | 113 | 1890 | 28350 | 2835 | 47250 |
| Window area - East [m ²] | 6.8 | 95 | 1350 | 126 | 1763 |
| Window area - South [m ²] | 6.8 | 95 | 1350 | 126 | 1763 |
| Window area - West [m ²] | 6.8 | 95 | 1350 | 126 | 1763 |
| Window area - North [m ²] | 6.8 | 95 | 1350 | 126 | 1763 |
| Glass/façade for building length | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Glass/façade for building width | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Glass/floor | 27% | 18% | 17% | 16% | 13% |

Table 4Definition of building types.

Table 5

Distribution of floor area (x million m2).over the building ages, building types and country clusters for 2006.

| | Dwelling | Apartment building | Apartment building | Non- residential | Non- residential | Total |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| North | | Sinaii | laige | Sinan | laige | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 67 | 25 | 13 | 15 | 25 | 145 |
| <1975 refurbished | 266 | 99 | 54 | 60 | 101 | 581 |
| 1975-1990 | 102 | 37 | 21 | 23 | 39 | 222 |
| 1991-2002 | 86 | 32 | 17 | 20 | 33 | 187 |
| 2002-2006 | 43 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 94 |
| Central maritime | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 911 | 247 | 133 | 154 | 365 | 1810 |
| <1975 refurbished | 2125 | 577 | 311 | 359 | 852 | 4223 |
| 1975-1990 | 840 | 228 | 122 | 142 | 336 | 1668 |
| 1991-2002 | 633 | 172 | 92 | 107 | 254 | 1258 |
| 2002-2006 | 187 | 51 | 27 | 32 | 75 | 371 |
| Central continental | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 521 | 141 | 76 | 88 | 209 | 1036 |
| <1975 refurbished | 1216 | 330 | 178 | 206 | 487 | 2417 |
| 1975-1990 | 480 | 130 | 70 | 81 | 192 | 955 |
| 1991-2002 | 362 | 99 | 53 | 61 | 145 | 720 |
| 2002-2006 | 107 | 29 | 16 | 18 | 43 | 212 |
| South | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 599 | 385 | 207 | 160 | 208 | 1558 |
| <1975 refurbished | 599 | 385 | 207 | 160 | 208 | 1558 |
| 1975-1990 | 748 | 480 | 259 | 199 | 260 | 1946 |
| 1991-2002 | 506 | 325 | 175 | 135 | 175 | 1316 |
| 2002-2006 | 102 | 65 | 35 | 27 | 35 | 265 |
| Baltics | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 68 | 30 | 25 | 14 | 24 | 161 |
| <1975 refurbished | 17 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 40 |
| 1975-1990 | 36 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 88 |
| 1991-2002 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| 2002-2006 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 5 |
| Poland | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 189 | 74 | 120 | 62 | 69 | 514 |
| <1975 refurbished | 47 | 19 | 30 | 16 | 17 | 129 |
| 1975-1990 | 121 | 47 | 76 | 40 | 44 | 328 |
| 1991-2002 | 57 | 22 | 36 | 19 | 21 | 154 |
| 2002-2006 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 46 |
| Central | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 238 | 41 | 77 | 62 | 77 | 496 |
| <1975 refurbished | 60 | 10 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 124 |
| 1975-1990 | 132 | 22 | 43 | 34 | 43 | 274 |
| 1991-2002 | 26 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 53 |
| 2002-2006 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 16 |

Table 6

Distribution of floor area (x million m2).over the building ages, building types and country clusters for 2020.

| | Dwelling | Apartment building small | Apartment building large | Non- residential small | Non- residential large | Total |
|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| North | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 40 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 87 |
| <1975 refurbished | 218 | 81 | 44 | 50 | 83 | 476 |
| 1975-1990 | 94 | 34 | 19 | 21 | 36 | 204 |
| 1991-2002 | 84 | 31 | 17 | 19 | 32 | 183 |
| 2002-2006 | 43 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 94 |
| 2007-2020 | 163 | 61 | 33 | 37 | 62 | 356 |
| Central maritime | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 546 | 148 | 80 | 92 | 219 | 1086 |
| <1975 refurbished | 1806 | 490 | 264 | 306 | 724 | 3590 |
| 1975-1990 | 823 | 223 | 120 | 139 | 329 | 1635 |
| 1991-2002 | 620 | 169 | 90 | 105 | 248 | 1233 |
| 2002-2006 | 187 | 51 | 27 | 32 | 75 | 371 |
| 2007-2020 | 1370 | 372 | 200 | 232 | 549 | 2722 |
| Central continental | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 365 | 99 | 53 | 62 | 146 | 725 |
| <1975 refurbished | 973 | 264 | 142 | 165 | 390 | 1934 |
| 1975-1990 | 471 | 128 | 69 | 80 | 189 | 936 |
| 1991-2002 | 355 | 97 | 52 | 60 | 142 | 706 |
| 2002-2006 | 107 | 29 | 16 | 18 | 43 | 212 |
| 2007-2020 | 793 | 215 | 116 | 134 | 318 | 1575 |
| South | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 359 | 231 | 124 | 96 | 125 | 935 |
| <1975 refurbished | 479 | 308 | 166 | 128 | 166 | 1246 |
| 1975-1990 | 733 | 470 | 254 | 195 | 254 | 1907 |
| 1991-2002 | 496 | 318 | 172 | 132 | 172 | 1290 |
| 2002-2006 | 102 | 65 | 35 | 27 | 35 | 265 |
| 2007-2020 | 741 | 477 | 257 | 198 | 258 | 1930 |
| Baltics | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 51 | 22 | 19 | 11 | 18 | 121 |
| <1975 refurbished | 15 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 35 |
| 1975-1990 | 35 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 86 |
| 1991-2002 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| 2002-2006 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 5 |
| 2007-2020 | 38 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 91 |
| Poland | | | | | | |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 136 | 54 | 86 | 45 | 49 | 370 |
| <1975 refurbished | 39 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 14 | 105 |
| 1975-1990 | 118 | 46 | 75 | 39 | 43 | 321 |
| 1991-2002 | 57 | 22 | 36 | 19 | 21 | 154 |
| 2002-2006 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 46 |
| 2007-2020 | 124 | 49 | 79 | 41 | 45 | 338 |
| Central | 181 | 31 | 59 | 47 | 59 | 377 |
| <1975 non-refurbished | 49 | 8 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 102 |
| <1975 refurbished | 129 | 21 | 42 | 33 | 42 | 268 |
| 1975-1990 | 26 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 53 |
| 1991-2002 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 16 |
| 2002-2006 | 135 | 23 | 44 | 35 | 44 | 282 |
| 2007-2020 | 181 | 31 | 59 | 47 | 59 | 272 |

2.2.3 Definition of building insulation and window properties

Thermal insulation of buildings depends on the age of the building and on the climate and so do the U-value of the windows and the g-value. Table 7 presents the U-values and g-values used for the reference calculations, i.e. without application of solar control glass. Most insulation values have been derived from [8], [9] and [10]. The 2020 value have been extrapolated. U-values and g-values are result from discussion in the GEPVP Working Group for this study.

Glazing properties for optimum solar control glass also differ for the various country clusters: see Table 8.

Table 7Heat transfer coefficient for roofs, façades, floors and windows as well as the window g-value
for different building ages and country clusters.

| | < 1975 non- refurbished | < 1975 refurbished | 1975-1990 | 1991-2002 | 2002-2006 | 2020 |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| North | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
| <i>U</i> _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| <i>U</i> _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 3.00 | 1.60 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 1.42 | 1.33 |
| g _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Central maritime | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| <i>U</i> _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.38 |
| U _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.20 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.41 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.68 |
| g _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Central continental | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| U _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.38 |
| U _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.20 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.41 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.68 |
| g _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| South | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 3.40 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| U _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 2.60 | 1.40 | 1.20 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.48 |
| U _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 3.40 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.48 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 4.20 | 3.50 | 4.20 | 3.50 | 3.04 | 2.71 |
| <i>g</i> _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Baltics | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.70 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 0.17 |
| <i>U</i> _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| <i>U</i> _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.25 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m²K)] | 3.00 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.10 | 1.90 | 1.66 |
| g _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Poland | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 0.90 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.23 |
| U _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.20 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.30 |
| U _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.60 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 3.50 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.40 | 2.30 | 2.00 |
| <i>g</i> _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

Table continues on next page

| Tabla | 7 | 000 | sting | |
|-------|---|-----|-------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | | 14 | ble i continueu |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| | < 1975 non- refurbished | < 1975 refurbished | 1975-1990 | 1991-2002 | 2002-2006 | 2020 |
| Central | | | | | | |
| U _{roof} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.40 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.38 | 0.23 |
| <i>U</i> _{facade} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.34 |
| U _{floor} [W/(m ² K)] | 1.40 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.44 |
| <i>U</i> _w [W/(m ² K)] | 4.00 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 2.90 | 1.65 |
| g _w [-] | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

 Table 8
 U-value and g-value for optimum solar control glass for different country clusters.

| Country cluster | U _w [W/(m ² K)] | g _w [-] |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------|
| North | 1.1 | 0.40 |
| Central maritime | 1.1 | 0.40 |
| Central continental | 1.1 | 0.40 |
| South | 1.7 | 0.35 |
| Baltics | 1.1 | 0.40 |
| Poland | 1.1 | 0.40 |
| Central | 1.1 | 0.40 |

2.2.4 Definition of internal climate control and building occupancy

Heating and cooling load of buildings depend on control systems for the internal climate and their settings. Internal climate for the calculations is controlled by set point temperatures for heating and cooling and ventilation rates as follows:

- set point for heating: 19°C;
- set point for cooling: 24°C;
- ventilation regime:
 - for dwellings and small apartment buildings: natural ventilation;
 - for large apartment buildings and small non-residential buildings: forced ventilation;
 - for large non-residential buildings: balanced ventilation;
- ventilation rate:
 - for natural and forced ventilation for residential buildings:
 - 0.6 x used building area in litres/s;for natural and forced ventilation for non-residential buildings:
 - 0.65 x used building area in litres/s;
 - for balanced ventilation:

 $0.65 \ge (1 - \text{efficiency of heat recovery}) \ge \text{used building area in litres/s, where efficiency of heat recovery} = 0.70$

The internal heat gain depends on the building occupancy as follows:

- for residential buildings: 6 W/m^2 ;
- for non-residential buildings: 10.8 W/m^2 .

In the sensitivity study reported in Section 3.6, ventilation rate and internal heat gain are halved and doubled.

2.2.5 Definition of conversion of energy for heating and cooling into CO₂ production The calculation core of the tool delivers energy for heating and cooling of the many combinations. Final processing gives energy savings. Two conversions are needed to derive CO₂ savings from the energy savings, i.e. efficiency of heating and cooling systems and CO₂ production related to heating and cooling:

$$\Delta M_{\rm CO2} = \Delta Q_{\rm nd} \cdot (CO_2 \, production \, per \, unit \, of \, energy) \,/ \,\eta_{\rm sys} \tag{9}$$

where:

 $\eta_{\rm sys}$ is the efficiency of the heating or cooling system;

 $\Delta Q_{\rm nd}$ is the energy savings for heating or cooling.

System efficiency for the calculations has been defined as follows:

- for heating systems: 0.80, as the majority of heating systems use primary energy sources;
- for cooling systems (coefficient of performance, COP): 2.5.

 CO_2 production related to heating and cooling differs per country mainly due to the mix of primary energy sources used for heat and electricity production. For the calculations, it is assumed that cooling is provided by electricity whereas heating uses primary energy sources as well as electricity, the share depending on the country cluster.

Table 9 presents the conversion from energy for heating and cooling into CO_2 production. The conversion data for heating come from [8], [9] and [10]. For cooling, the base data used involve the so-called Ecoinvent Life Cycle Inventory Data ([11]). These data are, within the world of LCA experts, known as highly complete and also reliable. The data are verified after data entry by an internal reviewer. The (low voltage) electricity mix of a specific country includes the imports and internal use. In the low voltage data, transmission and transforming losses (around 10%) have been accounted for. The CO_2 equivalents not only contain the emission of CO_2 but also of other gasses with a global warming potential such as methane, HFCs, CFCs and halons. Moreover, emissions before the actual generation of electricity are included, e.g. methane losses during natural gas exploration or coal mining as well as emissions due to transportation of the raw materials.

| Country cluster/ country | CO ₂ production per unit of energy for heating | CO ₂ production per unit of energy for cooling |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| | [kt/TJ] | [kt/TJ] |
| North | 0.087 | |
| - Finland | | 0.085 |
| - Sweden | | 0.012 |
| Central maritime | 0.083 | |
| - Belgium | | 0.097 |
| - Denmark | | 0.157 |
| - Ireland | | 0.243 |
| - Luxemburg | | 0.177 |
| - Netherlands | | 0.204 |
| - United Kingdom | | 0.165 |
| - France | | 0.027 |
| Central continental | 0.083 | |
| - Austria | | 0.082 |
| - Germany | | 0.186 |

 Table 9
 Conversion from energy for heating and cooling into CO₂ production for different country clusters and countries.

Table continues on next page

| | | Table 9 continued |
|------------------|----------|---------------------|
| South | 0.076 | |
| - Cyprus | | 0.326 1) |
| - Greece | | 0.326 |
| - Italy | | 0.174 |
| - Malta | | 0.250 2) |
| - Portugal | | 0.182 |
| - Spain | | 0.152 |
| Baltics | 0.080 4) | |
| - Estonia | | 0.237 ³⁾ |
| - Latvia | | 0.237 ³⁾ |
| - Lithuania | | 0.237 ³⁾ |
| Poland | 0.080 4) | |
| - Poland | | 0.330 |
| Central | 0.080 4) | |
| - Czech Republic | | 0.288 |
| - Hungary | | 0.196 |
| - Slovakia | | 0.133 |
| - Slovenia | | 0.124 |

¹⁾ Value is assumed to be the same as for Greece

²⁾ Value is assumed to be the average of the figures for Greece and Italy

³⁾ Value is assumed to be the average of the figures for the Czech Republic, Poland, Slovakia and Hungary

 $^{\rm 4)}\,$ Value is assumed based on the figures for the old EU15 Member States

3 Four scenarios for savings of energy and CO₂ by solar control glazing

3.1 Introduction: explanation of the presented savings

Sets of two calculations form the basis for determination of the energy savings:

- in one calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the building with reference glazing, which is already present for existing buildings or is expected to be applied for future buildings (period 2007-2020), as defined by the figures in Table 7;
- in the other calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the same building with solar control glazing, as defined by the figures in Table 8.

Subtraction of the energy performance resulting from the two calculations reveals the energy savings for heating and cooling. Application of solar control glazing always saves cooling energy as less solar energy enters the building. For heating, the energy savings can be positive or negative depending on the effect of the lower heat loss through the glazing on one side and (again) less solar energy entering the building on the other side.

The next Sections describe different scenarios for application of solar control glazing in new buildings as well as the impact of replacing glass in existing buildings by solar control glazing:

- Scenario 1: application of solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings under the assumption that the percentage of air conditioning in new buildings (built between 2007 and 2020) is twice as high as in present buildings;
- Scenario 2: as Scenario 1 but with the suggestion that the application of solar control glazing may cause air conditioners to be left out for all new buildings except for South Europe;
- Scenario 3: application of solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings and replacing glass in all existing air-conditioned buildings by solar control glazing;
- Scenario 4: as Scenario 3 with vast increase of air conditioning in both existing and future buildings to present USA levels of 65% for residential and 80% (100% for South Europe) for non-residential buildings.

For all scenarios, energy savings for heating and cooling have been presented separately. Savings for Cyprus and Malta have been extrapolated using the summed savings for Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain together with the number of inhabitants of the six countries in the South region. For the 'old' EU Member States with Cyprus and Malta added, energy savings have been distributed additionally according to the number of inhabitants of the various countries. Energy savings have been converted into CO_2 savings using the conversion factors in Table 9.

3.2 Scenario 1: Solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings

In this scenario, all future (2007 - 2020) buildings with air conditioning will also be provided with solar control glazing to reduce energy use and CO₂ production. It is assumed that the percentage of air conditioning in the new buildings built between 2007

and 2020 is twice as high as in present buildings as indicated in an EU SAVE study on air conditioners ([12]); see Table 10.

| Country cluster | Share of air-conditioned buildings [%] | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | Residential | Non-residential | | | |
| North | 10 | 55 | | | |
| Central maritime | 10 | 55 | | | |
| Central continental | 7 | 39 | | | |
| South | 15 | 83 | | | |
| Baltics | 11 | 59 | | | |
| Poland | 11 | 59 | | | |
| Central | 11 | 59 | | | |

Table 11 presents the annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO_2 reduction to be achieved in 2020 for Scenario 1.

| 2020 | Energy savings [TJ] | | CO ₂ reduction [kt] | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| | Total | Heating | Cooling | Total | Heating | Cooling |
| North | 1362 | -414 | 1775 | -17 | -45 | 27 |
| - Finland | 500 | -152 | 652 | 6 | -16 | 22 |
| - Sweden | 862 | -262 | 1124 | -23 | -28 | 5 |
| Central maritime | 9301 | 593 | 8708 | 456 | 62 | 394 |
| - Belgium | 610 | 39 | 571 | 26 | 4 | 22 |
| - Denmark | 320 | 20 | 300 | 21 | 2 | 19 |
| - Ireland | 239 | 15 | 223 | 23 | 2 | 22 |
| - Luxemburg | 28 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| - Netherlands | 969 | 62 | 907 | 80 | 6 | 74 |
| - United Kingdom | 3560 | 227 | 3333 | 243 | 24 | 219 |
| - France | 3576 | 228 | 3348 | 60 | 24 | 36 |
| Central continental | 4157 | 68 | 4089 | 296 | 7 | 289 |
| - Austria | 376 | 6 | 370 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| - Germany | 3781 | 62 | 3719 | 284 | 6 | 277 |
| South | 46326 | -4281 | 50608 | 3281 | -407 | 3688 |
| - Cyprus | 306 | -28 | 335 | 41 | -3 | 44 |
| - Greece | 4091 | -378 | 4469 | 548 | -36 | 584 |
| - Italy | 22253 | -2057 | 24309 | 1498 | -195 | 1693 |
| - Malta | 153 | -14 | 167 | 15 | -1 | 17 |
| - Portugal | 4060 | -375 | 4435 | 287 | -36 | 323 |
| - Spain | 15464 | -1429 | 16893 | 893 | -136 | 1028 |
| New EU Members States | 4367 | 753 | 3614 | 486 | 75 | 411 |
| - Baltics | 500 | 130 | 370 | 48 | 13 | 35 |
| - Poland | 2797 | 671 | 2126 | 348 | 67 | 280 |
| - Central | 1070 | -48 | 1117 | 90 | -5 | 95 |
| EU15 | 61146 | -4035 | 65180 | 4016 | -382 | 4399 |
| EU25 | 65513 | -3282 | 68794 | 4502 | -307 | 4809 |

Table 11Annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO2 reduction in 2020 for
Scenario 1.

This scenario quantifies the suggestion that application of solar control glazing may cause air conditioners to be left out for buildings built between 2007 and 2020 in all countries except for South Europe. In that case, the whole cooling load of the reference situation is saved for all but the South country cluster. For the South region itself, the savings will be the same as for Scenario 1 provided that the number of new air-conditioned buildings is according to the data in Table 10. Table 12 presents the savings for Scenario 2.

| 2020 | En | Energy savings [TJ] | | | CO ₂ reduction [kt] | |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | Total | Heating | Cooling | Total | Heating | Cooling |
| North | 4224 | -414 | 4638 | 27 | -45 | 72 |
| - Finland | 1551 | -152 | 1703 | 41 | -16 | 58 |
| - Sweden | 2673 | -262 | 2935 | -15 | -28 | 14 |
| Central maritime | 28961 | 593 | 28368 | 1346 | 62 | 1284 |
| - Belgium | 1898 | 39 | 1859 | 76 | 4 | 72 |
| - Denmark | 997 | 20 | 977 | 63 | 2 | 61 |
| - Ireland | 743 | 15 | 728 | 72 | 2 | 71 |
| - Luxemburg | 87 | 2 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| - Netherlands | 3016 | 62 | 2955 | 247 | 6 | 241 |
| - United Kingdom | 11086 | 227 | 10859 | 739 | 24 | 715 |
| - France | 11134 | 228 | 10906 | 142 | 24 | 119 |
| Central continental | 11806 | 68 | 11738 | 837 | 7 | 830 |
| - Austria | 1067 | 6 | 1061 | 35 | 1 | 35 |
| - Germany | 10738 | 62 | 10677 | 802 | 6 | 796 |
| South | 46326 | -4281 | 50608 | 3281 | -407 | 3688 |
| - Cyprus | 306 | -28 | 335 | 41 | -3 | 44 |
| - Greece | 4091 | -378 | 4469 | 548 | -36 | 584 |
| - Italy | 22253 | -2057 | 24309 | 1498 | -195 | 1693 |
| - Malta | 153 | -14 | 167 | 15 | -1 | 17 |
| - Portugal | 4060 | -375 | 4435 | 287 | -36 | 323 |
| - Spain | 15464 | -1429 | 16893 | 893 | -136 | 1028 |
| New EU Members States | 9951 | 753 | 9198 | 1103 | 75 | 1027 |
| - Baltics | 1164 | 130 | 1034 | 111 | 13 | 98 |
| - Poland | 5685 | 671 | 5014 | 729 | 67 | 661 |
| - Central | 3101 | -48 | 3149 | 263 | -5 | 268 |
| EU15 | 91317 | -4035 | 95352 | 5491 | -382 | 5874 |
| EU25 | 101268 | -3282 | 104550 | 6594 | -307 | 6901 |

Table 12Annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO2 reduction in 2020 for
Scenario 2.

Currently, an average of 5% of the residential buildings in Europe has been equipped with air conditioning, and 27% of the non-residential buildings ([12]). This scenario considers these existing buildings together with the future buildings as in Scenario 1. For the new buildings, the share of air conditioning is as in Table 10. Table 13 presents the savings for Scenario 3.

| 2020 | Ene | ergy savings [| [TJ] | C | O2 reduction [| kt] |
|-----------------------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|----------------|---------|
| | Total | Heating | Cooling | Total | Heating | Cooling |
| North | 5050 | 277 | 4773 | 104 | 30 | 74 |
| - Finland | 1854 | 102 | 1752 | 70 | 11 | 59 |
| - Sweden | 3196 | 176 | 3021 | 33 | 19 | 14 |
| Central maritime | 37938 | 9561 | 28377 | 2282 | 997 | 1284 |
| - Belgium | 2487 | 627 | 1860 | 137 | 65 | 72 |
| - Denmark | 1306 | 329 | 977 | 96 | 34 | 61 |
| - Ireland | 973 | 245 | 728 | 96 | 26 | 71 |
| - Luxemburg | 114 | 29 | 85 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| - Netherlands | 3951 | 996 | 2955 | 345 | 104 | 241 |
| - United Kingdom | 14522 | 3660 | 10862 | 1097 | 382 | 715 |
| - France | 14586 | 3676 | 10910 | 502 | 383 | 119 |
| Central continental | 18936 | 5600 | 13335 | 1527 | 584 | 943 |
| - Austria | 1712 | 506 | 1206 | 92 | 53 | 39 |
| - Germany | 17224 | 5094 | 12130 | 1435 | 531 | 904 |
| South | 138514 | -9049 | 147563 | 9894 | -859 | 10753 |
| - Cyprus | 916 | -60 | 975 | 122 | -6 | 127 |
| - Greece | 12232 | -799 | 13032 | 1626 | -76 | 1701 |
| - Italy | 66534 | -4347 | 70881 | 4523 | -413 | 4936 |
| - Malta | 458 | -30 | 488 | 46 | -3 | 49 |
| - Portugal | 12139 | -793 | 12932 | 866 | -75 | 941 |
| - Spain | 46236 | -3020 | 49256 | 2712 | -287 | 2999 |
| New EU Members States | 19975 | 9851 | 10124 | 2107 | 985 | 1122 |
| - Baltics | 2413 | 1195 | 1217 | 235 | 120 | 115 |
| - Poland | 9997 | 4690 | 5307 | 1169 | 469 | 700 |
| - Central | 7566 | 3966 | 3601 | 703 | 397 | 306 |
| EU15 | 200438 | 6390 | 194049 | 13807 | 752 | 13055 |
| EU25 | 220414 | 16241 | 204173 | 15913 | 1737 | 14176 |

Table 13Annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO2 reduction in 2020 for
Scenario 3.

3.5 Scenario 4: Vast increase of air conditioning in buildings

For the United States, 65% of the residential buildings have been equipped with air conditioning, and 80% of the non-residential buildings ([12]). This scenario considers the increase of air conditioning in both existing and future buildings towards these present USA levels. For South Europe, 100% air conditioning is assumed for non-residential buildings. Current developments in air-conditioned buildings in Europe make that this scenario is rather realistic. Table 14 presents the annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO_2 reduction for Scenario 4, again to be achieved in 2020.

| 2020 | En | Energy savings [TJ] | | | CO ₂ reduction [kt] | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | Total | Heating | Cooling | Total | Heating | Cooling |
| North | 29957 | 2348 | 27609 | 681 | 254 | 426 |
| - Finland | 10999 | 862 | 10136 | 437 | 93 | 344 |
| - Sweden | 18959 | 1486 | 17473 | 243 | 161 | 82 |
| Central maritime | 232331 | 69800 | 162531 | 14636 | 7280 | 7357 |
| - Belgium | 15229 | 4575 | 10654 | 888 | 477 | 411 |
| - Denmark | 7998 | 2403 | 5595 | 602 | 251 | 351 |
| - Ireland | 5960 | 1791 | 4169 | 592 | 187 | 406 |
| - Luxemburg | 695 | 209 | 487 | 56 | 22 | 34 |
| - Netherlands | 24197 | 7270 | 16927 | 2137 | 758 | 1379 |
| - United Kingdom | 88930 | 26717 | 62212 | 6882 | 2786 | 4096 |
| - France | 89322 | 26835 | 62486 | 3479 | 2799 | 680 |
| Central continental | 161478 | 52367 | 109111 | 13180 | 5462 | 7718 |
| - Austria | 14600 | 4735 | 9865 | 816 | 494 | 322 |
| - Germany | 146878 | 47633 | 99245 | 12363 | 4968 | 7396 |
| South | 575040 | -50888 | 625928 | 40781 | -4832 | 45613 |
| - Cyprus | 3801 | -336 | 4137 | 508 | -32 | 540 |
| - Greece | 50783 | -4494 | 55277 | 6790 | -427 | 7217 |
| - Italy | 276217 | -24444 | 300660 | 18617 | -2321 | 20938 |
| - Malta | 1901 | -168 | 2069 | 191 | -16 | 207 |
| - Portugal | 50393 | -4460 | 54853 | 3568 | -423 | 3991 |
| - Spain | 191946 | -16986 | 208932 | 11107 | -1613 | 12720 |
| New EU Members States | 121683 | 66187 | 55496 | 12753 | 6619 | 6135 |
| - Baltics | 15416 | 8094 | 7322 | 1503 | 809 | 694 |
| - Poland | 59259 | 30583 | 28676 | 6841 | 3058 | 3783 |
| - Central | 47008 | 27510 | 19498 | 4409 | 2751 | 1658 |
| EU15 | 998807 | 73628 | 925179 | 69278 | 8163 | 61114 |
| EU25 | 1120490 | 139815 | 980675 | 82031 | 14782 | 67249 |

Table 14Annual energy savings for heating and cooling and matching CO2 reduction in 2020 for
Scenario 4.

3.6 Sensitivity of the savings for different assumptions

The energy performance figures presented in the Sections 3.2 - 3.5 have been calculated for the internal gain and ventilation rate according to European standards for buildings. For construction rate of new buildings and climate change, values have been chosen in the mid range of expected values.

In order to assess the accuracy of these figures, sensitivity of the energy savings has been studied for internal heat gain, ventilation rate, construction rate of new buildings and climate change. Minimum and maximum values for the additional calculations have been selected as follows:

- for the internal heat gain: half and double the default values mentioned in Section 2.2.4;
- for the ventilation rate: half and double the default values mentioned in Section 2.2.4;
- for the construction rate of new buildings: 1% and 3% per year whereas the annual demolition rate remains 1% as mentioned in Section 2.2.2;
- for climate change: external temperatures increase at the top and bottom range projections of the IPPC report ([7]) as mentioned in Section 2.2.1, corresponding to 0.6°C and 3.5°C temperature increase by the year 2100.

Investigation of the sensitivity is rather extreme for the internal heat gain and the ventilation rate, i.e. not for individual buildings but surely for the whole building stock. However, the intension is to clearly show the sensitivity of the savings for these non-standard conditions. There is no data available to present statistically justified values.

Table 15 presents the change in total energy savings due to the variations as described above for the four scenarios.

| | Change in total energy savings [%] | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Scen | ario 1 | Scen | ario 2 |
| | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| Internal heat gain: 50 - 200% | -33% | +29% | -47% | +116% |
| Ventilation rate: 50 - 200% | -59% | +41% | -69% | +83% |
| Construction rate new buildings: 1 - 3% per year | -48% | +48% | -48% | +48% |
| Climate change: top – bottom range IPPC | -1% | +8% | -2% | +11% |
| | Scen | ario 3 | Scen | ario 4 |
| | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| Internal heat gain: 50 - 200% | -21% | +11% | -21% | +15% |
| Ventilation rate: 50 - 200% | -46% | +24% | -49% | +28% |
| Construction rate new buildings: 1 - 3% per year | -19% | +23% | -11% | +13% |
| Climate change: top – bottom range IPPC | -1% | +5% | -1% | +6% |

Table 15Change in total energy savings for the four scenarios due to variation of internal heat gain,
ventilation rate, construction rate of new buildings and climate change.

Table 15 shows that energy (and CO_2) savings largely depend on choices for internal heat gain, ventilation rate and rate of construction of new buildings. Influence of climate change is much lower.

4 Conclusions

This study quantifies the impact of application of solar control glazing in existing and new buildings with air conditioning on energy savings and CO_2 reduction to be achieved in 2020. The calculation method used corresponds to the EPBD standard developed for determination of heating and cooling loads of buildings. Information on building stock, material properties and meteorological data comes from European studies and statistics.

Sets of two calculations form the basis for determination of the energy savings:

- in one calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the building with reference glazing, which is already present for existing buildings or is expected to be applied for future buildings (period 2007-2020);
- in the other calculation, heating and cooling energy is calculated for the same building with solar control glazing.

Subtraction of the energy performance resulting from the two calculations reveals the energy savings for heating and cooling. Subsequently, CO_2 reduction is derived from the energy savings.

Figures have been calculated for the 25 EU Member States for four scenarios:

- Scenario 1: application of solar control glazing in all future air-conditioned buildings under the assumption that the percentage of air conditioning in the new buildings (built between 2007 and 2020) is twice as high as in present buildings;
- Scenario 2: as Scenario 1 but with the suggestion that the application of solar control glazing may cause air conditioners to be left out for all new buildings except for South Europe;
- Scenario 3: application of solar control glazing in all existing and future airconditioned buildings, i.e. combining Scenario 1 with the existing buildings with air conditioners;
- Scenario 4: as Scenario 3 with vast increase of air conditioning in both existing and future buildings to present USA levels of 65% for residential and 80% (100% for South Europe) for non-residential buildings.

Table 16 summarizes the summed values for the EU25 Member States of energy savings for heating and cooling and CO_2 reduction. The contribution to the Commission's target on CO_2 reduction for buildings in 2020, i.e. about 300 Mt/year, has also been indicated.

Table 16 Energy savings for heating and cooling and CO₂ reduction summarized for the EU25 Member States; CO₂ reduction has also been presented as percentage of the EU target for CO₂ reduction for buildings in 2020.

| Scenario | Energy savings for heating in 2020 | Energy savings for cooling in 2020 | CO ₂ reduction in 2020 | Contribution to EU target for CO ₂ reduction for buildings in 2020 |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| | [TJ] | [TJ] | [kt] | |
| 1 | -3282 | 68794 | 4502 | 1.5% |
| 2 | -3282 | 104550 | 6594 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 16241 | 204173 | 15913 | 5.3% |
| 4 | 139815 | 980675 | 82031 | 27% |

Relating the figures in Table 16 to the Commission's objective for all sectors, i.e. 780 Mt/year CO_2 reduction, shows that application of solar control glazing can contribute up to 10% of the target for 2020.

The big countries in the South region contribute the most to the potential for CO_2 reduction as can be seen from Table 17 presenting the CO_2 reduction figures of the Tables 11 – 14 as percentage of the total EU25 figure. The South region is followed by the mid-European countries with the Central and Central continental country clusters. There is also interesting potential for Poland and the United Kingdom.

| 2020 | CO ₂ reduction | on as percentage | e of the total EU | 25 figure [%] |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 |
| North | -0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| - Finland | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| - Sweden | -0.5 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Central maritime | 10.1 | 20.4 | 14.3 | 17.8 |
| - Belgium | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| - Denmark | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| - Ireland | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| - Luxemburg | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| - Netherlands | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| - United Kingdom | 5.4 | 11.2 | 6.9 | 8.4 |
| - France | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| Central continental | 6.6 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 16.1 |
| - Austria | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| - Germany | 6.3 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 15.1 |
| South | 72.9 | 49.8 | 62.2 | 49.7 |
| - Cyprus | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| - Greece | 12.2 | 8.3 | 10.2 | 8.3 |
| - Italy | 33.3 | 22.7 | 28.4 | 22.7 |
| - Malta | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| - Portugal | 6.4 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.3 |
| - Spain | 19.8 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 13.5 |
| New EU Members States | 10.8 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 15.5 |
| - Baltics | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| - Poland | 7.7 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 8.3 |
| - Central | 2.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.4 |
| EU25 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 17CO2 reduction in 2020 as percentage of the total EU25 figure for the EU25 Member States for
all four scenarios.

Potentials in Tables 16 and 17 present an appealing contribution to the EU target. This is confirmed by the further properties of the solar control glazing:

- the product is available and does not need development;

- the solar control glass puts up the cost of the window only slightly when compared to more common double glazing;
- no adaptation of the infrastructure for installation is needed;

- maintenance of the product is minimal and not different than for common glass.

This makes solar control glazing very worthwhile considering both for new houses and buildings and for replacement in case of refurbishment.

5 References

- [1] Action Plan for Energy Efficiency: Realising the Potential. CEC, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.
- [2] Panorama of Energy Energy statistics to support EU policies and solutions. ISBN 92-79-03894X, European Communities, 2007.
- [3] Energy performance of buildings Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling. EN ISO 13790: 2007. Standard to be published in 2007.
- [4] Energy rating of windows. ISO TC163 SC2 WG11. Draft standard under preparation 2005-2007; convenor H. Elmahdy, NRC, Canada.
- [5] Thermal performance of buildings Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling – General criteria and validation procedures. CEN TC89 N 1083 E; M. Anderson, Sweden.
- [6] Meteonorm Version 5.0; www.metoenorm.ch. Meteotest, Bern, Switzerland, 2003.
- [7] Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis Summary for Policymakers, IPPC, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007.
- [8] Mitigation of CO₂ Emissions from the Building Stock. Eurima and EuroACE.
 C. Petersdorff et all., Ecofys, Cologne, Germany, 2004.
- [9] Cost-Effective Climate Protection in the EU Building Stock. Eurima. C. Petersdorff et all., Ecofys, Cologne, Germany, 2005.
- [10] Cost-Effective Climate Protection in the EU Building Stock of the New EU Member States. Eurima. C. Petersdorff et all., Ecofys, Cologne, Germany, 2006.
- [11] Strommix und Stromnetz. In: Sachbilanzen von Energiesystemen: Grundlagen für den ökologischen Vergleich von Energiesystemen und den Einbezug von Energiesystemen in Ökobilanzen für die Schweiz (Ed. Dones R.), Frischknecht R., M. Faist Emmenegger, 2003. Final report Ecoinvent 2000 No. 6, Online-Version under: www.ecoinvent.ch. Paul Scherrer Institut Villigen, Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories, Duebendorf, Switzerland.
- [12] Energy Efficiency and Certification of Central Air Conditioners (EECCAC) Final report, 3 volumes, J. Adnot, Armines, France, 2003.
 Summarized in: High efficiency and low environmental impact air-conditioning systems. Online-Version under www.cenerg.ensmp.fr.

6 Authorisation

Name and address of the principal: Groupement Européen des Producteurs de Verre Plat Avenue Louise 89 B-1050 Brussels BELGIUM

Names and functions of the cooperators: L.G. Bakker, B.Sc., senior researcher H.A.L. van Dijk, M.Sc., senior researcher Dr. T.N. Ligthart, senior researcher Dr. W.J.J. Manshanden, economist Dr. L.L. Soethout, senior researcher M.E. Spiekman, M.Sc., senior researcher H. Visser, M.Sc., senior researcher and project leader

Names and establishments to which part of the research was put out to contract:

Date upon which, or period in which, the research took place: $April\ 2006-July\ 2007$

Signature:

H. Visser, M.Sc. Author

Approved by:

A.A.L. Traversari, B.Sc. MBA Head of Department Refrigeration, Heating and Installations